## Case 1:18-cr-00016-RJS Document 12 Filed 01/16/18 Page 1 of 13

I1BAULLApc UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 1 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 18-cr-16 (RJS) V. 5 AKAYED ULLAH, 6 Defendant. 7 -----x 8 New York, N.Y. January 11, 2018 9 3:05 p.m. 10 Before: 11 HON. RICHARD J. SULLIVAN 12 District Judge 13 14 **APPEARANCES** 15 GEOFFREY S. BERMAN Interim United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York 16 BY: GEORGE D. TURNER, ESQ. 17 SHAWN G. CROWLEY, ESQ. REBEKAH A. DONALESKI, ESQ. Assistant United States Attorneys 18 FEDERAL DEFENDERS OF NEW YORK INC. 19 Attorneys for Defendant 20 BY: AMY GALLICCHIO, ESQ. JULIA L. GATTO, ESQ. 21 22 23 24 25

## Case 1:18-cr-00016-RJS Document 12 Filed 01/16/18 Page 2 of 13 I1BAULLApc

1 (In open court) This is 18-cr-16, United States v. Ullah. 2 THE CLERK: 3 THE COURT: Have a seat. Thank you. 4 Good afternoon. Let me take appearances from the 5 lawyers. For the government. 6 MR. TURNER: Good afternoon, your Honor. George 7 Turner, Shawn Crowley, and Rebecca Donaleski for the government. 8 9 THE COURT: Yes. All right. Good afternoon. 10 And for the defendant. 11 MS. GALLICCHIO: Good afternoon, your Honor. Federal 12 Defenders by Amy Gallicchio, with Julia Gatto, on behalf of 13 Mr. Ullah. 14 THE COURT: OK. Ms. Gallicchio, Ms. Gatto, good 15 afternoon. Mr. Ullah, good afternoon to you. We are here, this is our initial appearance following 16 17 the return of an indictment by the grand jury. The grand jury returned an indictment, I think yesterday it was. Is that 18 19 correct? 20 MR. TURNER: That's correct, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: All right. So, Mr. Ullah, I want to first 22 make sure that you've seen a copy of the indictment and you 23 have had a chance to look at it and review it with your 24 attornevs. So have you seen the indictment in this case? 25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

## Case 1:18-cr-00016-RJS Document 12 Filed 01/16/18 Page 3 of 13 3 I1BAULLApc

1	THE COURT: You have, all right. And have you had a
2	chance to discuss it with your attorneys, Ms. Gallicchio and
3	Ms. Gatto?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Do you think you have had enough time to
6	discuss it with them?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Would you like me to read it out loud here
9	in court?
10	THE DEFENDANT: No.
11	THE COURT: No. You read it yourself. You understand
12	it.
13	There are six counts, I believe, in the indictment.
14	You've said you've read them. And so at this point I'm going
15	to ask you to tell me your plea. I'm going to ask you whether
16	you plead guilty or not guilty to the charges in the
17	indictment. OK?
18	So how do you plead? Guilty or not guilty?
19	THE DEFENDANT: At this moment, not guilty.
20	THE COURT: Not guilty. All right.
21	So that's the formal arraignment in this case on the
22	charges.
23	The next purpose, I guess, of today's meeting, today's
24	conference, is to discuss the evidence in this case. As a
25	defendant in a criminal case, you're entitled to certain

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

evidence that the government has in its possession. And so I'm going to ask the government lawyers to summarize for me what that evidence consists of and where you are in terms of producing it to counsel for Mr. Ullah.

MR. TURNER: Yes, Judge. The discovery materials in this case will consist largely of materials, including the following: surveillance video, including surveillance video showing the defendant detonating an explosive device on the day of the alleged attack; photographs of evidence recovered at the crime scene, including components of the detonated pipe bomb recovered from the defendant's body and the surrounding area; post-arrest statements made by the defendant; FBI lab reports, for example, reports regarding analysis of DNA, fingerprints, and explosives; multiple search warrants and search warrant returns, specifically search warrant returns for two social media and messaging applications used by the defendant; as well as photos, logs, and other reports relating to the execution and results of searches conducted pursuant to search warrants of three physical locations during the course of the investigation, including the defendant's residence; the contents of a laptop that was seized from the defendant's residence and was used by the defendant; subpoena returns, for example, subscriber-related information for e-mail, social media, and other online accounts used by the defendant, as well as financial records, travel records, such as MetroCard data,

showing the defendant's movements on the morning of the attack, phone records. And those are some of the principal categories of discovery, Judge.

THE COURT: Have you produced anything to date to defense counsel?

MR. TURNER: Not to date, Judge. Earlier today we did provide defense counsel with a proposed protective order. We are prepared to begin producing discovery as soon as tomorrow, subject to the entry of the protective order. We would be prepared to provide the bulk of discovery, as we've informed defense counsel, within the next two weeks. And we anticipate being in a position to complete rolling productions of Rule 16 materials in the next 30 days, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. How voluminous is this stuff?

If you printed it all out and stacked it all up, how high are we going?

MR. TURNER: Your Honor, I think it's fair to say that the materials in this case are substantial but that the government does not view them as voluminous, to use your Honor's word. This is not a case that involves, for example, hours and hours of undercover recordings or e-mail search warrant after e-mail search warrant. The materials are significant, but we would not characterize them as voluminous, your Honor.

THE COURT: Could you say in terms of numbers of

pages? Hundreds? Thousands? What?

MR. TURNER: Your Honor, in terms of hard-copy documents, I don't have an exact count for your Honor, but we are talking more in the range of hundreds than thousands, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So, Ms. Gallicchio or

Ms. Gatto, I don't know if this is the first time you're

hearing this or you had a hunch as to what was coming, but

hearing what I just heard, do you have a sense as to how long

it would take you to review those things?

MS. GALLICCHIO: Yes. I did get indication from the government about the nature of the discovery. And I'm thinking that it might be wise at this point to start with a 90-day period to review the discovery once received.

THE COURT: All right. And in terms of the protective order, I don't know what you folks have in mind on that.

MS. GALLICCHIO: I haven't taken a look at it yet. I haven't opened my e-mail today since it was sent. My sense is it is not going to be a problem. We'll sign that and get it to the government.

THE COURT: All right. So you think 90 days to review these materials and then come back to me and tell me what motions you are contemplating.

MS. GALLICCHIO: Yes. I think that's a starting point. I understand that the bulk of the discovery will get to

us in a couple weeks. So maybe a little beyond 90 days, from
when we receive it.

THE COURT: It doesn't sound that voluminous to me.

MS. GALLICCHIO: Right.

Obviously they are very serious charges.

THE COURT: But it doesn't sound like it's a voluminous amount of discovery.

MS. GALLICCHIO: I mean, it's hard to tell. But I think that is a reasonable amount of time.

THE COURT: All right. What does the government think, in terms of 90 days? It's longer than I would normally want to put off seeing a defendant.

MR. TURNER: Judge, we don't have any objection to that proposal.

THE COURT: All right. So 90 days puts us into April.

So Friday, April 13, at 11 a.m. we'll come back for another conference. At that point I'll expect that defendants will be ready to tell me what motions they're contemplating. If it looks like we are still off in the weeds and you're not ready, I'd like an update then. Think we'll still have the conference. But I'd like to have an update telling me what's taking longer than you thought or what the complications are.

MS. GALLICCHIO: What did you say, your Honor? The 13th?

THE COURT: The 13th, yes, at 11 a.m. And then let's

# Case 1:18-cr-00016-RJS Document 12 Filed 01/16/18 Page 8 of 13 I1BAULLApc

plan on it being here. I think this is a convenient courtroom as courtrooms go. If that changes I'll let you know. OK?

MS. GALLICCHIO: Thank you.

Your Honor, there are a couple issues I'd like to raise just with respect to Mr. Ullah's medical treatment.

THE COURT: Sure. All right.

MS. GALLICCHIO: He recently had some stitches removed, but my understanding is there are still stitches that remain and may be embedded in his skin, causing him a great deal of discomfort. He needs additional medical attention and treatment and potentially treatment outside of the MCC medical facility. Also, his wound is still dressed, but my understanding is, his dressing has not been seen or changed in several days.

So I would ask the Court to issue an order that he receive immediate medical attention upon return to the MCC, particularly with respect to the dressing on his wound and the stitches that still remain in his body.

THE COURT: Well, I don't know. Generally speaking I think it's best to go through the Bureau of Prisons on this. He's at the MCC, right?

MS. GALLICCHIO: He's at the MCC. They haven't seen him in four days.

THE COURT: Have you contacted the MCC about this?

MS. GALLICCHIO: I just learned about that this

morning.

THE COURT: Yes. Generally speaking, I think the best thing to do is to have counsel endeavor to do this with the Bureau of Prisons in the first instance. I think that they are very receptive. At least the wardens have assured me they are and will be. So I would reach out to chief counsel there to see if you can get those things addressed through them. If not, then you can propose something to me, but with more specifics, just because it's hard for me to say, oh, yes, we've got to change those stitches or change those dressings. I don't know enough about any of it. So obviously I want

Mr. Ullah's medical needs attended to, of course. But I think I'll need more information before I'm going to order specific treatment or specific activity on the part of —

MS. GALLICCHIO: No, I'm not asking the Court to order that, obviously, but just that he be seen by someone. He has not had a doctor examine him in several days. So that would be the first request that I would have. But if the Court is not inclined to do that at this point, I will pursue, of course, through the legal department.

THE COURT: Yes. Generally you come to me after you've tried that and it's failed. But if you're just finding out about these things now, my expectation is that you reach out to Bureau of Prisons, or maybe the government could do the same, that you should be able to get the relief you're seeking

pretty quickly. If that turns out to be wrong, then you come back to me.

MS. GALLICCHIO: All right.

THE COURT: Anything else you wanted to raise with me today?

MS. GALLICCHIO: No, your Honor. That's it.

THE COURT: All right. Government, anything else you think we need to consider or discuss today?

MR. TURNER: No, other than an application for the exclusion of time, your Honor.

THE COURT: You're asking that I exclude time between now and April the 13th under the Speedy Trial Act?

MR. TURNER: That's correct, your Honor. And among other reasons, it will enable defense counsel to review the discovery to be produced by the government and assess the need for potential motions.

THE COURT: No objection to that?

MS. GALLICCHIO: No objection, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Ullah, let me explain what this means. Your lawyer may have done this already. But ordinarily you have a right to a speedy trial -- rephrase that -- you have a right to a speedy trial. Ordinarily what that means is that you would have your trial within 70 days of the indictment, so 70 days from yesterday. That's not a lot of time. That's less than two and a half months. However, the law recognizes that

not all cases are the same. Some are more complicated. Some involve more evidence, more discovery, may require more time. And so the law allows a judge like me to extend that period of time if there is a good reason. Or if you think of it another way, like if you think of it as sort of a stopwatch that is ticking, it's got 70 ticks on it, one for each day, I'm free to stop that clock if there's a good reason to stop it.

Now, it seems to me that there is a lot of evidence that you and your attorneys will want to review. There may be motions you wish to make. Some of those materials are already being produced very quickly. Some will come in over time. So it sounds like your interest in a Speedy Trial and the public's interest in a Speedy Trial is outweighed by your need to review these materials. So I am inclined to stop the clock between today and April 13th to allow you to review these things and discuss them with your attorneys, and then also discuss what motions if any you want to make related to the evidence in the case.

Now, I am mindful of the fact that you probably want to move quickly, and there is a public interest in moving quickly. But we have to balance that need for speed with the need to give you an opportunity to prepare your defense.

So from what I've heard, the 90 days sounds reasonable. So I'm going to stop the clock between now and April 13th. However, if for whatever reason you think you need

to see me between now and then, tell your attorneys. They will contact chambers, and we will schedule a conference here in court. All right?

THE DEFENDANT: All right. Thank you.

THE COURT: So I will exclude time. I find, in the interests of justice, that is appropriate under the statute, under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. 3161.

All right. So I'll see you in April if not before. Keep me apprised if there are any changes in circumstances.

Let me ask this question: How long a trial, if we go to trial, do you expect this case to be, Mr. Turner?

MR. TURNER: May I have one moment, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. TURNER: At this point, your Honor, we would estimate a trial of one to two weeks.

THE COURT: OK, so not a long trial. All right. That was my hunch. That would be what I would expect. You agree?

You haven't seen the discovery yet.

MS. GALLICCHIO: I agree.

THE COURT: Sounds right.

MS. GALLICCHIO: Yes.

THE COURT: OK. So we can schedule a trial quickly. If at the next conference everybody wants to move fast, we can move quickly at that point.

All right. So thank you very much. Let me thank the

## Case 1:18-cr-00016-RJS Document 12 Filed 01/16/18 Page 13 of 13 13 I1BAULLApc

marshals. Let me thank the court reporter as well. Have a nice day. MR. TURNER: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you.